

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
24 January 2002 (24.01.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 02/06417 A1

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: C09K 3/00, (74) Agent: GANDERUP, Kurt, R.; 26877 Tourney Road, Valencia, CA 91355-1847 (US).
C07F 5/02, B29C 43/52
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/22391 (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (22) International Filing Date: 16 July 2001 (16.07.2001)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data: 60/218,954 17 July 2000 (17.07.2000) US (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- (71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): U.S. BORAX INC. [US/US]; 26877 Tourney Road, Valencia, CA 91355-1847 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): LLOYD, Jeffrey, D. [GB/US]; 23037 Magnolia Glen, Valencia, CA 91354 (US). MANNING, Mark, J. [US/US]; 225 14th Street, Santa Monica, CA 90402 (US). ASCHERL, Frederick, M. [US/US]; 39744 Milan Drive, Palmdale, CA 93551 (US).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: MIXED SOLUBILITY BORATE PRESERVATIVE

(57) Abstract: Preservative composition for lignocellulosic-based composites providing rapid and long-lasting protection against insect and fungal attack, in both low and high moisture environments, through the use of a combination of higher solubility and lower solubility borates. Pesticidal amounts of a lower solubility borate and a higher solubility borate are combined before or during their incorporation into a lignocellulosic-based composite. Useful low solubility borates includes copper borate, zinc borate and barium metaborate. Useful high solubility borates includes boric acid, boric oxide, ammonium borate and alkali metal borates such as sodium borate. Some alkaline earth metal borates, including calcium borates, are of intermediate solubility and may be used effectively as either a low soluble or high soluble borate depending on the combination used.



WO 02/06417 A1

MIXED SOLUBILITY BORATE PRESERVATIVE

Field of the Invention

5

This invention relates to the preservation of lignocellulosic-based composites and more particularly to the protection of composite products against insect and fungal attack through the use of mixed solubility borate preservative combinations.

10

Background of the Invention

Due to recent changes in the species, size and quality of standing timber available for harvest throughout the world, composites of lignocellulosic materials have replaced traditional solid sawn lumber for use in many structural applications. Many of these
15 composites are used in applications, which require resistance to wood-destroying organisms such as fungi and various insects. Accordingly, this requires treatment with a wood preservative.

Traditionally, solid wood products are dipped or pressure treated with solutions
20 of preservative chemicals. However, the nature of a composite material makes it possible to incorporate a preservative into the product during its manufacture. This decreases total production costs and yields a superior product in which the composite has a constant loading of preservative throughout its thickness.

25 Borates have been used as broad-spectrum wood preservatives for over 50 years. Their benefits include efficacy against most wood destroying organisms such as fungi, termites and wood-boring beetles. Coupled with their low acute mammalian toxicity and low environmental impact, their fungicidal and insecticidal properties have resulted in them being considered the wood preservative of choice for most structural or
30 construction applications. Borates such as boric acid, borax, disodium octaborate tetrahydrate (commercially available as Tim-bor® Industrial Wood Preservative from

- 2 -

U.S. Borax Inc.) and, more recently, zinc borate are well accepted as wood preservatives. Generally, boric acid, borax and disodium octaborate are used for treating solid wood products by dip or pressure treatment. However, these preservatives are readily soluble in water and can be incompatible with many resin systems used in producing composite products, resulting in an adverse effect on the internal bond strength of the resultant composites and poor mechanical strength. Anhydrous borax and zinc borate have been used successfully at relatively low levels with some resin systems, such as the phenol-formaldehyde resins, to produce composites with acceptable internal bond strength. See Knudson *et al.*, U. S. Patent No. 4,879,083. Zinc borate is also particularly preferred because its inherent low solubility reduces leaching and loss of the active ingredients in laboratory tests and in high moisture hazard environments in service. Although the low solubility borates of Knudson *et al.*, especially zinc borate, have been used successfully to treat wood composites such as oriented strand board (OSB), waferboard, fiberboard and particleboard, they suffer from several specific problems related to their low solubility. For example, while they perform very well in laboratory decay tests in high humidity situations, or after leaching, if the tests are carried out without leaching and at lower ambient relative humidities (20 – 30 % moisture content in the wood) a limited amount of fungal decay can occur.

This invention provides composites made from wood and other lignocellulosic materials which are resistant to attack by wood destroying organisms such as fungi and insects, have excellent internal bonding strength, low inherent leaching and yet still provide both rapid and long-lasting performance against decay fungi and insects at low as well as high moisture content.

Summary of the Invention

According to this invention, a pesticidal amount of a lower solubility borate and a higher solubility borate, in combination, are incorporated into lignocellulosic-based composites, thereby producing composites which have rapid, yet long-lasting, resistance against insect and fungal attack in low moisture and high moisture environments.

Detailed Description of the Invention

The mixed solubility borate formulations of this invention can be prepared by well known blending or mixing of the two or more variably soluble borates. Generally, a larger proportion of the lower solubility borate component is used in combination with a smaller proportion of the higher solubility borate component. Weight ratios of the lower solubility component to the higher solubility component as low as 0.1:1 or as high as 9:1 can give improvement over using a single borate, but the preferred ratio is in the range of between about 1:1 and 3:1 for low soluble component to high soluble component.

Blending of the two or more components if carried out prior to addition to the lignocellulosic matrix or resin binder may be done using batch or continuous methods. Any suitable means of blending may be used, such as a V-blender, rotary blender, zig zag blender, pin blender, paddle or ribbon blender, fluidized bed blender, conical screw blender, or air flow blender. In addition to using a simple blend, the mixed borate formulation can be prepared by coating the low soluble borate component with the high soluble borate component or by intimately combining them both with a binder (e.g. water) such as by using a pan granulator. Such coated or granulated combinations are beneficial in preventing segregation of the two components during transport etc. and prior to incorporation into the composite. They also improve product distribution and reduce segregation within the composite.

The low solubility and high solubility borates which are combined to be used in the method of this invention may be any one or more of the high soluble borate compounds such as boric acid and boric oxide, ammonium borate or alkali metal borates such as the sodium borates borax pentahydrate, borax decahydrate, sodium pentaborate, disodium octaborate, and sodium metaborate; and any one or more of the low solubility borate compounds such as transition metal borates (e.g. copper borates, zinc borates), or barium metaborate. Some alkaline earth metal borates, including calcium borates, calcium magnesium borates, magnesium borates and calcium sodium borates are of intermediate

- 4 -

solubility and can be used effectively as either a low soluble or high soluble borate depending on the combination used. For example, a formulation comprising an intermediate solubility borate, such as calcium borate, and a high solubility borate, such as sodium borate, would provide an effective mixed solubility borate formulation according to this invention, and such would be the preferred for use in a low moisture environment such as in interior sheathing. Similarly, a formulation comprising an intermediate solubility borate, such as calcium borate, and a low solubility borate, such as zinc borate, would provide an effective mixed solubility borate formulation, and such would be preferred for use in a high moisture hazard environment such as in exterior siding.

10

The preferred sodium borates are the sodium tetraborates, the sodium octaborates and the sodium pentaborates. Such sodium borates may be synthetically produced or may be a naturally occurring borate, such as tincal, tincalconite or kernite.

15

The preferred zinc borates are the zinc polytriborates, having a $\text{ZnO}:\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio of 2:3. A number of polytriborates are known and these metal oxide formulations can be described using the metal oxide to boron oxide to water ratios. Suitable polytriborates as examples include the 2:3:3.5 (sometimes referred to as 4:6:7), the 2:3:7 and the 2:3:7.5, or with the full formulae $2\text{ZnO}\cdot 3\text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 3.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $2\text{ZnO}\cdot 3\text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and $2\text{ZnO}\cdot 3\text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 7.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, respectively. Other suitable hydrated zinc borates include the 3:5:14, the 1:1:2 (where the 2 is probably actually 1.67) and the 4:1:1 or with the full formulae $3\text{ZnO}\cdot 5\text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 14\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (also referred to incorrectly as $2\text{ZnO}\cdot 3\text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$), $\text{ZnO}\cdot \text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, where x is about 1.67 (this compound is typically referred to as either $\text{ZnO}\cdot \text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ or $2\text{ZnO}\cdot 2\text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and $4\text{ZnO}\cdot \text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, respectively. Such zinc borates are synthetically produced.

25

Suitable copper borates include a number of known compounds, but also amorphous mixtures of borate and copper. Examples include $[\text{Cu}(\text{NH}_3)_4]\text{O}\cdot 2\text{B}_2\text{O}_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (cuprammine borate hexahydrate). This compound is typically the first crop of crystals one obtains upon concentrating ammoniacal "copper borate" solutions, i.e., borax and copper sulfate dissolved in ammonia solution. It is metastable and decomposes to other

30

- 5 -

copper and cuprammine borates upon standing in solution. Known or reported suitable copper borate compounds include the mixed metal oxide $3\text{Na}_2\text{O} \cdot 2\text{CuO} \cdot 8\text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 17\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as well as the 4:1:5, 3:2:3, 2:1:1 and 1:1:2 copper borates or, with the full formulae, $4\text{CuO} \cdot \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $3\text{CuO} \cdot 2\text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $2\text{CuO} \cdot \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, and $\text{CuO} \cdot \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$,
5 respectively. Such suitable copper borates are synthetically produced.

The preferred calcium borates are the calcium polytriborates, having a $\text{CaO}:\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio of 2:3, and calcium hexaborates, having a $\text{CaO}:\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio of 1:3, with the most preferred being the calcium polytriborates. Such calcium polytriborates may be
10 synthetically produced or may be a naturally occurring borate, such as inyonite, meyerhofferite and colemanite. Examples of suitable calcium hexaborates include nobleite and gowerite. Calcium-sodium borates and calcium-magnesium borates include probertite, ulexite and hydroboracite.

15 The particle size of the combined borates is not critical, but should be of a size that can be readily dispersed throughout the composite product. Generally, a mean particle size of as large as about 500 microns and as small as about 1 micron may be used, but for best results, it is preferred that the particle size be in the range of from about 150 microns to about 10 microns. It is also generally advantages for the two borates being
20 mixed to have similar particle sizes to aid in the blending operation.

The effective amount of mixed borate formulation incorporated in the composite is a pesticidal amount; that is, an amount sufficient to control or kill fungi (e.g. mold and decay fungi) and/or insects that destroy wood and similar cellulosic-based composite
25 products. Generally, a range of from about 0.1 to about 4 percent by weight of the formulation, based on the composite product is used to control pests. The amount used will depend on the target pests, desired performance longevity and the expected level of precipitation exposure. Preferably, from about 0.5 to about 2 percent is used for optimum performance against both decay fungi and termites. Loadings as low as 0.05 percent by
30 weight can have a favorable effect against some mold organisms.

- 6 -

The mixed borate formulations are considered to have a low impact on the environment, with low mammalian toxicity, resulting in relatively safe use and disposal. They are effective fungicidal and insecticidal compounds that are relatively inexpensive, easy to store, handle and use. Further, the borate formulations have some immediate
5 water solubility, providing rapid and continuing pesticidal activity in composites subject to exposure to low moisture environments in uses such as interior sheathing, but also a reservoir of more slowly released active ingredients to provide very long term performance and performance under high moisture hazard environments such as exterior siding.

10

The lignocellulosic-based composites of this invention are produced by well known procedures by combining particles of the lignocellulosic material with an adhesive binder and forming the composite, generally with heat and pressure. The mixed solubility borate formulations of this invention may be pre-mixed and incorporated by adding to the
15 lignocellulosic particles and/or binder, prior to forming the composite. Alternatively, the higher solubility borate and lower solubility borate may be introduced separately from one another, for example sequentially or simultaneously, e.g. from separate hoppers and feeders, during manufacture of the composite, to form a mixed preservative in situ. In cases where a composite is manufactured in multiple layers, the borate preservative of this
20 invention may be implemented by incorporating a high solubility borate in one or more layers and a low solubility borate into a different layer or layers. Preferably, a more soluble borate is incorporated into an inner layer or layers and a less soluble borate is incorporated into one or more outer layers. Incorporation of the two different borates into different layers of a multi-layer composite may also provide a particular advantage in
25 cases where one type of resin or lignocellulose particle is used in a core or inner layer and a different resin or particle system is used in the outer layers since, for example, some resin systems may be adversely effected by the use of a high solubility borate, while others may not be effected.

30

Lignocellulosic-based composites are formed from small fractions of cellulosic material, which are bonded with an adhesive binder, generally with heat and under

- 7 -

pressure. The method of forming cellulosic-based composites is well known and has resulted in many products, including particleboard, oriented strand board (OSB), waferboard, fiberboard (including medium-density and high-density fiberboard), parallel strand lumber (PSL), laminated strand lumber (LSL), laminated veneer lumber (LVL),
5 and similar products. Examples of suitable cellulosic materials include wood, straw (including rice, wheat and barley), flax, hemp and bagasse. The small fractions of cellulosic material can be in any processed form such as chips, flakes, fibers, strands, wafers, trim, shavings, sawdust, straw, stalks and shives.

10 The methods for manufacturing composites are well known and the specific procedure will be dependent on the cellulosic raw material and the type of composite desired. However, generally the cellulosic material is processed into fractions or particles of appropriate size, which may be called a furnish, mixed with an adhesive binder and the resultant mixture is formed into the desired configuration such as a mat, and then formed,
15 usually under pressure and with heat, into the final product. The process could be considered an essentially dry process; that is, generally, no water is added to form a slurry of the materials (other than any water that may be used as a carrier for liquid resins).

The binder is preferably an adhesive resin that is cured with heat to give a strong
20 bond between the cellulosic particles or fractions and provide structural composites with high mechanical strength. Such heat-cured adhesive resins are well known and include the formaldehyde- and isocyanate-based resins. Phenol-formaldehyde, phenol-resorcinol-formaldehyde, urea-formaldehyde, melamine-urea-formaldehyde and diphenylmethanediisocyanate (pMDI) are examples of suitable heat-cured resins in current
25 use. The preferred levels of binder can typically range from about 1.5% to about 15% by weight of the finished wood composite, but may be as low as 0.5% or as high as 25% for some composites, depending on a variety of constraints such as the particle size of the furnish and the strength and durability required of the finished wood composite. For example, structural quality OSB would typically contain between about 1.5% and 7%
30 binder, whereas structural quality particle board may require up to 15 to 20% binder or more and medium density fiberboard (MDF) with low strength and durability

- 8 -

requirements, such as pegboard, may contain less than 1%. Unlike many borates that have been used in the past to preserve cellulosic-based composites, the mixed borate formulation of the present invention may be used successfully, without adverse effect on the binder or on the mechanical strength of the composite product. Another application for the mixed solubility preservatives of this invention is in the emerging area of woodfiber-plastic composites where wood is mixed with thermoplastic binders such as high density polyethylene, polypropylene or polyvinyl chloride. In this application the mixed solubility preservative compositions may be incorporated into woodfiber-plastic composites containing thermoplastic binder levels up to about 30-50% by weight of the finished wood composite.

The mixed borate formulation may be incorporated in the composite in any manner that will result in dispersion throughout the final product. In the case of wood-based composites, it may be mixed with the wood particles, or furnish, prior to mixing with the resin or it may be added to the resin or wood-resin mixture and then formed into a mat for pressing, heating and curing to produce the final composite. Preferably, the mixed borate formulation is evenly distributed on wood particles such as chips or strands, in order to maximize contact between the wood particles and the preservative, then the resin is applied and the wood furnish is spread evenly onto plates or an endless belt (conveyor belt), forming a mat to be pressed into its final thickness. Heat is applied to cure the resin and form the final composite product. The wood furnish may contain optional amounts of additives, such as slack wax or flow agents, if desired, to aid in processing or performance, but are not essential.

EXAMPLES

The following examples illustrate the novel preservative compositions and methods of this invention.

Example 1

Wood composite samples were made having a phenol-formaldehyde (PF) resin face and a diphenylmethanediisocyanate (pMDI) resin core. Some of the samples included a combination of the zinc borate, $2\text{ZnO} \cdot 3\text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 3.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, (ZB) and disodium octaborate tetrahydrate (DOT) and others contained ZB alone, while still others contained no wood preservative. The ZB/DOT combination formulations contained 60% ZB by weight and 40% DOT. The preservative compositions were added to the wood composite samples at a loading of 0.1% by weight of the wood composite. The samples were cut to 50mm x 25mm x 20mm before testing. A total of eight samples from each treatment set were subjected to decay testing.

A total of eight samples from each treatment set were tested according to a standard European decay test protocol known as EN113. The samples were first sealed in plastic bags and gamma irradiated at a level of at a level of 2.0 Mrad using a ^{60}Co source to ensure sterilization prior to mono-culture decay testing.

Aqueous solutions of 2.0% malt and 2.0% agar were prepared and then autoclaved at 120°C for 30 minutes. The solutions were allowed to cool to between 50°C and 80°C and were then poured into pre-autoclaved animal jars (dimensions: height=7cm, diameter=9cm) with approximately 100ml per jar. The jars were placed into a container, kept in a temperature-controlled room at 22°C ($\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) and observed for two days. After the jars were ensured to be free of contamination, they were inoculated with a mature culture of *Coniophora puteana* (brown rot – Basidiomycotina). Inoculated jars were incubated at 22°C ($\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) until the mycelium covered between 2/3 and 9/10 of the solid agar substrate.

All specimens were placed on sterilized plastic mesh to prevent agar contact and water logging. The gamma-irradiated wood composites were then placed onto the fungal cultures. The jar lids were loosely screwed on to allow for air exchange. Following introduction of the samples to the cultures, the animal jars were again incubated at 22°C ($\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for a period of twelve weeks. The composites were then oven dried at 80°C

- 10 -

overnight and then weighed to determine the percent weight loss resulting from the fungal decay.

The decay results are shown in Table 1, expressed as average percent weight loss of the wood composite samples after 12 weeks of fungal decay exposure. These results show that the mixed solubility product is better at inhibiting fungal decay than the zinc borate alone.

Table 1

<u>Wood Composites</u>	<u>Average %Wt. Loss</u>	<u>% error</u>
control	23.05	0.53
ZB only	15.98	0.81
ZB(60)/DOT(40)	12.02	0.74

10

Example 2

The effectiveness of the mixed solubility wood preservatives of this invention against mold growth was tested on samples of aspen oriented strand board (OSB). Two OSB formulations were evaluated. One type was bonded with pMDI resin at a 6% loading. The other type was bonded with a PF resin face and a pMDI resin core. The OSB samples contained either a mixed solubility borate preservative formulation or a low solubility borate alone, or no preservative in the case of the control samples. The low solubility borate in all cases was zinc borate 2:3:3.5. The two different high solubility borates were tested – disodium octaborate tetrahydrate (DOT) and boric oxide (B_2O_3), also known as anhydrous boric acid (ABA). The weight ratio of low solubility borate to high solubility borate in the mixed solubility samples was either 80/20 or 60/40. Two different target loadings of preservative in the OSB samples were tested – 0.18% and 0.88% by weight.

Mold exposure testing was carried out using a modified version of the ASTM: D 3273 – 94 procedure. Exposure of the OSB samples to mold was done in an experimental chamber consisting of a 597mm x 311mm x 413mm plastic container tilted on its side to give a 45° roof angle to prevent condensate dripping on the samples and

- 11 -

filled with 2-3 inches of water. The water was heated to 32.5°C ($\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$), using a thermostatically controlled heating coil. A 292mm x 394mm x 133mm plastic bowl was filled to within 3 inches from the top with a mixture of damp potting soil and unsterile soil collected from outdoors to provide an inoculum source for mold. The bowl was floated
5 in the plastic container. The boards were placed on a plastic mesh above the inoculum source. The blocks were inverted at one week intervals. The test ran for a duration of 4 weeks.

After the four week mold exposure, the samples were examined visually and rated for mold formation on a scale of 0 to 4, with 0 representing no mold formation (i.e.
10 complete control) and 4 representing complete coverage or overgrowth of the sample by mold. The results are presented in Table 2. The borate loading (i.e. 0.18% v. 0.88%) had a significant effect, with the higher loading providing better control, as expected. With regard to the ratio of high solubility borate to low solubility borate, the samples
15 containing 40% soluble borate (i.e. 60% zinc borate) provided a significant improvement over the samples containing 0% soluble borate (i.e. 100% zinc borate), on average. Furthermore, significantly greater mold growth was observed at the faces of the OSB board samples compared to the edges.

- 12 -

Table 2

<u>Target Borate Loading %BAE</u>	<u>Soluble Borate</u>	<u>Board</u>	<u>Mold Formation Measured at</u>	<u>Rating at x%</u> <u>High Solubility Borate</u>		
				<u>x=0</u>	<u>x=20</u>	<u>x=40</u>
0.18	ABA	pMDI-6%	Edges	2.00	2.00	2.00
0.18	ABA	PF-pMDI	Edges	2.00	1.50	1.75
0.18	DOT	pMDI-6%	Edges	2.00	1.75	2.00
<u>0.18</u>	DOT	PF-pMDI	Edges	<u>2.00</u>	<u>2.25</u>	<u>1.75</u>
Average				2.00	1.88	1.88
0.88	ABA	pMDI-6%	Edges	0.75	1.50	0.25
0.88	ABA	PF-pMDI	Edges	1.50	2.00	0.75
0.88	DOT	pMDI-6%	Edges	0.75	0.75	1.25
<u>0.88</u>	DOT	PF-pMDI	Edges	<u>1.50</u>	<u>1.25</u>	<u>1.50</u>
Average				1.13	1.38	0.94
0.18	ABA	pMDI-6%	Faces	0.75	1.00	0.50
0.18	ABA	PF-pMDI	Faces	1.25	0.88	0.25
0.18	DOT	pMDI-6%	Faces	0.75	0.75	1.25
<u>0.18</u>	DOT	PF-pMDI	Faces	<u>1.25</u>	<u>1.88</u>	<u>0.38</u>
Average				1.00	1.13	0.59
0.88	ABA	pMDI-6%	Faces	0.00	0.63	0.00
0.88	ABA	PF-pMDI	Faces	0.75	0.75	0.25
0.88	DOT	pMDI-6%	Faces	0.00	0.63	0.00
<u>0.88</u>	DOT	PF-pMDI	Faces	<u>0.75</u>	<u>0.75</u>	<u>0.25</u>
Average				0.38	0.69	0.13

5

Example 3

Another set of mold tests similar to Example 2 was carried out. Test samples consisted of Aspen OSB bonded with pMDI resin at a 6% loading. The OSB samples were treated with a hydrophobic resin 1% EW-58S, manufactured by Borden, which served as a water repellent. The preservative treated OSB samples contained either zinc borate alone or a mixture of zinc borate and DOT, at a 60/40 weight ratio. Target loadings of preservative were 0.05, 0.10 and 0.20% by weight in the OSB samples. The OSB test samples were cut into 76mm x 102mm panels for use in the mold testing. Mold

10

- 13 -

exposure testing was carried out using essentially the same method described in Example 2, except that the water was heated to 30.5°C ($\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$). The relative humidity within the environmental chamber was monitored and maintained at 95 to 99% with an average temperature of 27 to 30°C.

- 5 After the four week mold exposure, the samples were examined visually and rated for mold growth on a scale of 0 to 4, as in Example 2. The results are presented in Table 3. These results show consistently better control of mold growth for the samples containing a mixture of 40% by weight DOT (60% zinc borate) compared with samples containing 100% by weight zinc borate and no high solubility borate.

10

Table 3

Target Borate Loading %BAE	Mold Formation Measured at	Rating at x% DOT	
		x=0	x=40
0.05	Faces	1.00	0.33
0.05	Edges	1.00	0.67
0.10	Faces	2.67	0.67
0.10	Edges	3.33	0.67
0.20	Faces	1.00	1.00
0.20	Edges	2.33	1.67
Average		1.89	0.84

15

Example 4

- The performance of mixed solubility borate preservatives against termites was investigated in a study using aspen waferboards bonded with either 100% pMDI (in both face and core) or with Phenol Formaldehyde (PF) in the face and pMDI in the core. The boards were made with a target loading of 0.88% preservative utilizing the formulations (blends) described in Table 4. The borates were incorporated into the board by mixing the preservative formulations with the aspen wafers in a blender before addition of the adhesive and wax. Composite board specimens were exposed to the Formosan
- 20

- 14 -

subterranean termite (*Coptotermes formosanus* Shiraki) at a field test site in Hilo, Hawaii. The specimens, along with untreated aspen 'feeder' stakes, are placed in a horizontal array on hollow core concrete blocks approximately 10 cm thick. In addition to surrounding the test specimens, the untreated feeder stakes are also driven into the

5 ground in an effort to increase the termite pressure on the test specimens. The assembly is then covered with a wooden box to prevent direct contact with rain. This is a severe test format conducted using a very termite susceptible wood species (aspen).

After 3, 9 and 15 months exposure the boards were rated visually on a scale of 0 to 10. A rating of 10 indicates the sample was in perfect condition, while a 0 rating

10 means the sample was essentially destroyed. Each result consisted of an average rating from the inspection of 10 separate samples (10 replicates).

The results are summarized in Table 4. In the boards made with the PF/pMDI resin system, the 80/20 and 60/40 mixed solubility preservative blends outperformed low solubility preservative alone (100% ZB) after 9 and 15 months exposure in this rigorous,

15 accelerated termite test.

Table 4

Board	Borate Preservative Composition (wt.%)			Termites Ratings		
	ZB	DOT	ABA	3 mos.	9 mos.	15 mos.
pMDI	100	0	0	10	9.8	9.9
pMDI	80	20	0	10	9.9	9.9
pMDI	60	40	0	10	9.9	9.9
pMDI	80	0	20	10	9.8	9.9
pMDI	60	0	40	10	9.6	10
PF/pMDI	100	0	0	10	9.5	9.7
PF/pMDI	80	20	0	10	9.9	9.9
PF/pMDI	60	40	0	10	9.8	10
PF/pMDI	80	0	20	10	9.7	10
PF/pMDI	60	0	40	10	9.9	9.9

- 15 -

Various changes and modifications of the invention can be made and, to the extent that such variations incorporate the spirit of this invention, they are intended to be included within the scope of the appended claims.

- 16 -

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A preservative composition for lignocellulosic-based composites comprising a
5 higher solubility borate and a lower solubility borate.
2. A preservative composition according to claim 1 wherein the higher solubility borate is selected from the group consisting of boric acid, boric oxide, ammonium borate and alkali metal borate.
3. A preservative composition according to claim 2 wherein the alkali metal
10 borate is selected from the group consisting of borax pentahydrate, borax decahydrate, sodium pentaborate, disodium octaborate, and sodium metaborate.
4. A preservative composition according to claim 2 wherein the lower solubility borate is a low solubility borate selected from the group consisting of copper borate, zinc borate and barium metaborate.
- 15 5. A preservative composition according to claim 2 wherein the lower solubility borate is an intermediate solubility borate selected from the group consisting of calcium borate, magnesium borate, calcium magnesium borate and calcium sodium borate.
6. A preservative composition according to claim 1 wherein the lower solubility borate is selected from the group consisting of copper borate, zinc borate and barium
20 metaborate.
7. A preservative composition according to claim 6 wherein the higher solubility borate is an intermediate solubility borate selected from the group consisting of calcium borate, magnesium borate, calcium magnesium borate and calcium sodium borate.

- 17 -

8. A preservative composition according to claim 1 wherein the weight ratio of the lower solubility borate to the higher solubility borate is in the range of from about 0.1:1 to about 9:1.

9. A preservative composition according to claim 8 wherein the weight ratio of the lower solubility borate to the higher solubility borate is in the range of from about 1:1 to about 3:1.

10. A preservative composition for lignocellulosic-based composites comprising a first borate which provides rapid initial preservative protection against insect and fungal attack and a second borate which provides long lasting preservative protection.

11. A lignocellulosic-based composite having resistance against insect and fungal attack which contains lignocellulosic-based furnish, resin binder and a pesticidal amount of a preservative composition comprising a first borate which provides rapid initial preservative protection and a second borate which provides long lasting preservative protection.

12. A lignocellulosic-based composite according to claim 11 wherein the first borate is a higher solubility borate and the second borate is a lower solubility borate.

13. A lignocellulosic-based composite according to claim 11 wherein the weight percent of the preservative composition is at least about 0.05% of the composite.

14. A lignocellulosic-based composite according to claim 11 wherein the weight percent of the preservative composition is in the range of from about 0.1% to about 4% of the composite.

15. A lignocellulosic-based composite according to claim 14 wherein the weight percent of the preservative composition is up to about 1% of the composite.

16. A lignocellulosic-based composite having resistance against insect and fungal attack which comprises pesticidal amounts of a higher solubility borate and a lower solubility borate.

- 18 -

17. A lignocellulosic-based composite according to claim 16 wherein the composite comprises multiple layers and the higher solubility borate and lower solubility borate are incorporated into different layers.

18. A method for protecting a lignocellulosic-based composite against insect and
5 fungal attack comprising incorporating into the composite a pesticidal amount of a preservative composition comprising a higher solubility borate and a lower solubility borate.

19. A method for protecting a multi-layered lignocellulosic-based composite
10 against insect and fungal attack comprising incorporating a first borate into an inner layer and a second borate into at least one outer layer.

20. The method according to claim 19 wherein the first borate is a higher solubility borate and the second borate is a lower solubility borate.

21. In the method for forming a lignocellulosic-based composite which is resistant
15 to insect and fungal attack, the improvement which comprises incorporating a pesticidal amount of a preservative composition comprising a higher solubility borate and a lower solubility borate.

22. A composite board comprising lignocellulosic-based furnish, a thermoplastic binder and a preservative amount of the composition according to claim 1.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US01/22391

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(7) : C09K 3/00; C07F 5/02; B29C 43/52

US CL : 252/385; 264/109; 428/526,527,528;524/14

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 252/385; 264/109; 428/526,527,528;524/14

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US, 4,935,457 A (METZNER) 19 June 1990. See col. 2, lines 4-23, 30-68; col. 3, lines 1-7, 44-50.	1,2,4-22
Y	US 5,246,652 A (HSU et al) 21 September 1993. See col. 2, lines 30-61.	3
A	US, 5,047,275 A (CHIU) 10 September 1991. See col. 5, lines 32-47.	1-22
A	PL 158853 B (WYTWER) 30 October 1992. See Entire document.	1-22

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.
 ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"G" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

08 SEPTEMBER 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

08 NOV 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3830

Authorized officer
CEPHIA D. TOOMER

Telephone No. (703) 305-0661